

## DAVID GRANT, P.Eng.

EDUCATION	Bachelor of Applied Science, University of Windsor, 1974
ASSOCIATION ACTIVITIES	Professional Engineer, APEGM, 1986 to present Initially registered as a Professional Engineer in Ontario, 1976  Councillor, Science Fair Judging, Luncheon PD Presenter, Webinar Author, Awards Committee, PD Committee; Communications Committee, Emerging Issues Committee EGAIAR Joint Board, Continuing Competence Committee, Council Appeal Committee
OTHER ENGINEERING ACTIVITIES	Wrote and advocated for test methods for ASTM, AOAC, and Hydro. Spoke at the U of MB on a variety of topics, from Materials research to mentoring a string of recently arrived students on “what is life like as a Chemical Engineer?”
EMPLOYERS SINCE GRADUATION	Chemical / Materials Engineer, Manitoba Hydro, Insulation Engineering & Testing, Process Engineer, Eldorado Nuclear, Allied Chemical, Imperial Oil, and Ethyl Corp., 1974 to 1986
PLATFORM	After 20 years as an APEGM volunteer, you elected me Councillor in 2013; I made some great new friends, brought in a few changes, and have done hundreds of hours work on Council committees.  Want a better APEGM? Step1 is re-electing me. Step2 is reopening communication between any willing councillor and you. APEGM rules forbid this and say Members cannot be considered “owners” of APEGM! I think Member concerns have been ignored far too long. I believe all this can be fixed. If you agree, vote for me. Questions? Write to me at <a href="mailto:DAVID4APEGM@gmail.com">DAVID4APEGM@gmail.com</a> . Join our Facebook-Group FRIENDS OF APEGM.
QUESTIONS FROM THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE	<p><b>1) In your view, what is the single most important issue facing the professions today, and why?</b></p> <p><i>I believe that involving members in the choices Council makes is important. They are big choices, as APEGM faces change. We need more member input as we work on Advocacy for the public interest. I believe member experience must also be considered as continuing competency and registration rules evolve.</i></p> <p><b>2) Why is self-regulation and the responsibility given to us by government and the public important?</b></p> <p><i>We understand engineering better than anyone, and have its best interests at heart. The public expects us to protect them, not only from disaster, but from economic ‘harm’ and the inconvenience of failed infrastructure. Government must see value in everything we do.</i></p> <p><b>3) What do you think the public's expectation is from the practices of engineering and geosciences?</b></p> <p><i>The public respects and trusts us. They know we do good technical work (unless instructed otherwise by the client!). By more actively advocating on their behalf, and letting them know, this respect will be enhanced. We need the help of all members to identify how we can advocate. APEGM must evolve wisely, and I believe that means Councillors make use of your input.</i></p>