An Introduction to Geothermal Engineering and Energy Modelling





MANITOBA CLIMATE RESILIENCE TRAINING

Interaction

- The course is being **recorded**
- Cameras and Microphones are off
- Polls to receive your ideas

Chat is open

- During Presentation and Q&A
- Comments are welcome and will be monitored
- Please send comments to Everyone
- Technical issues send chat to EngGeoMB
- Follow-up handout with survey
 - Change your name in Zoom





Agenda

- Framework and importance
- Overview Energy Modelling
- Overview of Geothermal Engineering
- Q&A / Discussion



3

Presenters

Melanie Chatfield, P.Eng

Energy Modelling

Ed Lohrenz

Geothermal



4 MANITOBA CLIMATE RESILIENCE TRAINING









MANITOBA CLIMATE RESILIENCE TRAINING


Energy Modelling Process in Building Design


- 1. What is Energy Modelling?
- 2. Heat and Mass Transfer in Buildings
- 3. What Tools are used
- 4. What is NOT considered
- 5. Applications
- 6. Modelling Process
- 7. Common Items That Create Uncertainty
- 8. Optimal Use
- 9. Load Model versus Energy Model
- 10. Output Reports
- 11. Geothermal Loads
- 12. Conclusion


Agenda


What is Energy Modelling?


The use of computer-based simulations to assess energy consumption, daylighting effects and other characteristics of a building design.





















Building Energy Use



Source: https://www.iea-ebc.org/Data/publications/EBC_Annex_46_PSR.pdf

What Tools Are Used?

- RETScreen
- eQuest
- IES Virtual Environment
- Open Studio/Energy Plus
- Design Builder/Energy Plus
- Trane Trace
- Carrier HAP
- Sefaira
- Cove Tool





What Tools are Not Useful for Geothermal Sizing

- RETScreen Has ground-source module but relies on user input for building heating and cooling loads
- Sefaira Too high level
- Cove Tool Too high level

*eQUEST: The bulk of the reporting focuses on energy (which is great for energy calculations of course).







What is NOT Considered

- The **fit out** by the occupants.
- The **equipment** added by the occupants.
- The **pattern of use** of the building & equipment.
- The **build quality** and **commissioning**.
- Actual Infiltration numbers.




Applications

- High Performance Building Design and Analysis
- Renewable Energy Feasibility Studies
- Net Zero Energy Studies
- Manitoba Energy Code for Buildings Compliance Modelling
- Analysis of Daylighting, and Solar Shading Design Strategies
- Feasibility studies for HVAC, Architectural and Electrical System Retrofits
- LEED & Green Globes Compliance Energy Modelling
- Digital Twins






Modelling Process Flow Chart



Modelling Process




Reference: United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP). Life-cycle analysis of the built environment. UNEP Indust Environ. 2003; 17 (21)

Common Items That Create Uncertainty

Examples Include:

- Thermal Bridging Calculations
- Material Properties
- Infiltration
- Complexity of Design
- Plug Loads
- Schedules
- Poor or No Commissioning
- Weather
- Energy Modeller Experience



Multiple parameters with a certain level of uncertainty can have a large effect on the final performance due to the aggregated effect of uncertainties.

Optimal Use

"All models are wrong, but some are useful"

George Best, Statistician

An energy model is a recording of all the bits and pieces in a buildings and that information can be used to predict energy flows in the buildings. Glorified accounting tool – nothing magical.

- Deploy a model for a specific reason to answer a specific question.
- Concentrate on peak loads along with energy use.
- The Engineering profession is very lax at looking at the actual building performance after construction has been completed. We must start to learn from previous projects by performing measurement and verification.

Optimal Use

- Modelling is not manipulation of software. It's applying strong understanding of heat transfer physics, HVAC systems, weather and people. Knowing the software is not enough. First you need to know what is supposed to be happening in and around the building. Second, you need to know how to tell the software to do the same thing. When the software can't model your building or system exactly (and that happens a lot), the modeller needs to know how to create a reasonable alternative. As a client, review an energy modellers experience.
- A thorough process of reviewing input is crucial a there can be literally thousands of inputs. Reviews should be conducted by another experienced energy modeller within the same company or a third-party reviewer if that is not possible. As a client, ask about the review process.
- Output review is the only way to have any confidence that the software is doing what is expected. It is much
 preferable to be able to review hourly values for every system and central plant. Many models with reasonable looking
 output can become much improved after reviewing the details and discovering a key error. As a client, ask about the
 review process.
- Every modeller needs to understand that no model will be perfect. A modeller may have spent a few hundred hours on a model but should understand the end product they are aiming for. As a client, understand that no model will be perfect, but that it should be useful to your project.

The Difference Between a Load Model & an Energy Model

• A load model will calculate the equipment sizes.

- A load model will typically add safety factors affecting fan sizes, coil selections, duct and piping, control valves, chillers and boiler sizes
- Output reports are usually tailored for load calculation and work well for that purpose.
- An energy model uses the actual equipment performance including how much it has been oversized by.
 - Recording of all the bits and pieces in the buildings and we use that information to predict energy flows in the buildings. Glorified accounting tool – nothing magical.





HOURLY ANALYSIS PROGRAM

Output Reports


	Zone Sizi	ng Summary for VRF		
Project Name: VRF O filce Prepared by: Carrier			02/26/20 10:17A	
Air System Information Air System Name		Number of zones	5	
Equipment Class Air System Type	VRF	Location	15000.0 Dallas, Texas	
Sizing Calculation Information Calculation Months	Jan to Dec	Zone CFM Sizing	Sum of space airflowrates	
Sizing Data	Calculated	Space CFM Sizing	Individual peak space loads	

Terminal Unit Sizing Data - Cooling

April 1 Part / Park Park	OA Room Supplement Time 0 DB WD Db	vercal Systems PACE Phy Saves Sensible List Proversity Sensible List Proversity Sensible List Proversity Saves Sensible List Proversity Saves Sensible List Versity Saves Sensible List Versity Saves Sensible List Versity Saves Sensible List Versity COOLLINGS Collings C	000 7 /16 98 76 64.4 000 7 /16 97 74 64.4 000 7 /16 97 74 64.4 000 7 /16 97 74 64.4 000 7 /16 97 74 64.4 000 7 /17 98 73 54.4 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 000 0 0 0 0 <	Coll Coll Coll Sembles Load Markow Load Load Markow State Load A170 133.04 91.26 J341 27.30 3.56 System Check By TRANE CLG SPACE PEAK Monte 0.16 Monte 0.16 OACB 34 Sensitie 0.076at Bernetigen F Bush Monte 0.16 Sensitie 0.076at Bernetigen F Bush Monte 0.16 Sensitie 0.076at Sayatie 5	Variable HEATING COLL PEA Mole: Heating De OADE: 18 Space Peak Coil P Space Sens Tot 5	SPACE1-1 0 1 SPACE2-1 0 SPACE3-1	ot Aa Heating [Btwh 30- 0CT- 16:15 05- JUL- 0.00 05- JUL- 0.00	t Input I Sensible He Air Suc Cooling Hee [ton] [B]	m/h] [ton] 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Addition Addition [Btu/h] [Btu/h]	le Sensible Heat Addition Addition h] [Btu/h] 51 1801.54 10584	Itent Transfer Hest ion Heat Addition Addition [Btush]	at Conduction and Other Heat Addition [Btu h] 00 3531.56	Sensible Heat Removal [Btu/h] 0.00	ndow Heat noval Itu h] 0.00	Zone Name BLD1-F001-Z01 BLD1-F001-202		52.4 5 36.6 3 101.7 5	a Hta Coil Water Flow (220.0 *F (apm) 81.7 78.6	4 58.0756.8 58.87577 3 59.5758 58.0756.9 58.0756.9 Fan Design Airflow (CFM) - 2033 - 1734 - 2872	Fan Motor (BHP) 0.301 0.256 0.425	Fan De Motor Al	1.36 1.42 1.63 0.61 Vent sign flow FMI 197 124	
001-311 Backsof Training Peak 1.412 0031-3470 Guide Master Peak 1.416 0031-3470 Guide Master Peak 1.416 0031-3470 Guide Master Peak 1.616 0031-3470 Guide Master Peak 1.616 0031-3470 Guide Master Peak 1.616 0031-3470 Guide Master Peak 1.617 0031-3470 Guide Master Peak 1.617 0031-3470 Guide Master Peak 1.617 0131-3570 Guide Master Peak 1.517 0131-3571 Guide Master Peak 1.513 0131-3571 Guide Master Peak 1.533 0131-3571 Guide Master Peak<	16 7/17 86 7.3 7.2.0 5.4.4 7 7/17 86 7.3 7.2.0 5.4.4 80 7.7.17 86 7.7 7.2.0 5.4.4 80 7.7.17 86 7.7 7.2.1 5.4.7 80 7.7.17 86 7.7 7.2.1 5.4.7 81 7.0.7 85 70 7.2.1 5.4.7 83 7.0.7 85 70 7.2.1 5.4.7 83 7.0.7 85 70 7.2.1 5.4.7 83 7.0.7 86 47 7.2.1 5.4.7 83 7.0.7 86 47 7.2.1 5.4.7 84 7.0.7 86 7.7 7.2.1 5.4.7 84 7.0.7 7.8 7.7 7.2.1 5.4.7 85 7.0.7 7.8 7.7 7.7 5.3.7 7.7 5.3.7 7.7 5.3.7 7.7 5	4 145 27.073 1) 4 1.063 50.944 1) vestem -0.01 COOLING C Pasked at Time Outside Ar: Space Sense System - 0.01 Buth Buth Styles Cond 0 Styles Cond 0 Skyles Cond 2 20 Otsas Siles Cond 2 Valid Cond 2 27.594 27.594 27.594	000 7 n6 97 74 54.4 000 7 n7 56.7 54.4 COLL PEAK COLL PEAK COLE MOLHIC: 7/16 OACDEWGHR: 55/75/104 Piresum NeP Percessis Piresum NeP Colspan="2">Colspan="2" Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspa= "2"	1.381 37.910 36.84 1.603 41.66 116 By TRANE But Rane CLG SPACE PEAK Mohre 0 110 OABS #4 Space Percet Sensite 0.710 Balah (%) Brance Percet 0 0 Styte 51	Variable HEATING COLL PEA Mole: Heating De OADE: 18 Space Peak Coil P Space Sens Tot 5	SPACE2-1	05- UL- 05- 07:15 05- UL- 0.00	-1.5	0.00 0.00			Office	3531.56			BLD1-F001-Z01 BLD1-F001-Z02		Coil Ent/Ly Load DB (MBH) (°F) 28.8 68.378 19.8 69.177	g Flow @20.0 *F (apm) 81.7 79.7 78.6	Design Airflow	Motor (BHP) 0.301 0.256	Fan De Motor Al	sign flow	
011-EntranceAres Park 1.376 012-Star/A Park 1.384 013-Stern/PublicAdu/Equipmert Park 1.384 013-Stern/PublicAdu/Equipmert Park 1.335 013-Stern/PublicAdu/Equipmert Park 1.335 013-Stern/PublicAdu/Equipmert Park 1.335 017-Lockers Park 1.335 016-Start/Charge Park 1.335 017-Start/Dissoven Park 1.835 023-Start/ChargeConference/PublicAgram RoomPark 1.135 024-Recent/Lindemant/PTO Park 1.247 026-Start/ChargeConference/PublicAgram RoomPark 1.217 1.247 026-Start/ChargeConference/PublicAgram RoomPark 1.213 1.232 026-Start/Office Park 1.241 1.232 026-Start/Office Park 1.213 1.232 026-Start/Office Park 1.232 1.232 026-Start/Office Park 1.213 1.232 026-Start/Office Park 1.232 1.232 028-Poild/Start/Offi	72 1011 83 61 72.2 11115 66 77.8 77.8 77.8 23 71.7 68 78 72.1 33 71.6 87 76.2 76.7 33 71.6 98 76 72.1 33 71.6 98 76 72.1 34 71.6 97 72.7 74.4 401 101.7 73 75 72.2 8 30 71.7 96 73 72.2 8 30 71.7 96 72.2 9 3 30 71.7 96 72.2 9 3 30 71.7 97 72.2 9 3 30 71.1 97 72.2 9 3 30 71.1 97 72.2 9 3 31 71.1 97 72.2 9 3 32 7	COOLING C Peaked at Time Outside Ar- Sens. + Lat. Bruhe Solar 0 Skylle Solar 0 Skylle Cond 0 Skylle Cond 2 Glast Doar Cond 9,497 Weit Cond 27,594	MolH: 7/16 OADB/WB/HR: 95/75/104 Plenum Net Percent Btuh Btuh (%) 0 0 0 333,213 333,213 55 0 29,293 5	Mo/Hr: 6 / 16 OADE: 94 Space Percent Sensible Of Total Btu/h (%) 0 0 Skylte Si	HEATING COLL PEA MoHr: Heating De OADE: 18 Space Peak Coil Pi Space Sens Tot Sp	SPACE3-1	05- JUL- 0.00	10		System Load	is Report	Office	Building		the second s	and the second se						0.337	197	
011-Dimensional Peak 1,57 10,111 0.5 0.5 72. 012-Star A Amount Constraint Equipment Peak 381 81. 82. 77. 86 97. 72. 013-SecurityCustorialEEquipment Peak 383 11.01 88. 78. 77. 88. 78. 77. 78. 72. 72. 013-SecurityCustorialEEquipment Peak 383. 71.6 88. 78. 77. 88. 78. 77. 78. 72. 70.	1 7/16 96 7/2.1 Si 19 7/16 97 74 72.1 Si 19 7/15 98 76 72.1 Int 37 7/16 98 76 72.1 Int 70 11/13 55 46 72.1 Li 71 7/17 96 73 72.1 M	Outside Ar. OAGE Veiller 89 / 75 / 104 OADE 94 Space Prevent Not Prevent Space Prevent Bens, FLAX, Sens, FLAX Total Of Total Sensitie Of Total Envelope Leads Bluh		0 0 RefCor 8.913 1 Oless 80 9.930 3 0 Version 20 0.930 0 Version 20 0 0 Floor 0 0 Adjacent 0 0 Adjacent 0 0 Adjacent 0 0 Adjacent 0 0 Reformance 1.915 20 Version 20 1.915 2	HEATING COIL PEA Nucl+, Heating De OxCB:: 18 Space Paal Ceil PA Space Sens Space Sens Tot PA State Loads State Coil PA State Coil PA	SPACES-1 C	07:15 2E3-1 05- 07.15 07.15 0.00 7.15 0.00 2E5-1 0.00 2E5-1 0.01 15:30 0.00 16:30 16 Total 17- 15:30 0.00	00 -1.2 0.0 00 -1.6 0.0 00 -1.3 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Entringer Galas Lessen Rohms Valls Portuger Schlerer Ground Exposed Hors External Over Saler S	7 71%2 Zones RA Pressure Net Prece Banh Bauh, Bank Bank of 15 Banh Bauh, Bank Bank of 15 Bank Bank Bank Bank of 15 Bank Bank Bank Bank Bank Bank Bank Bank	B / 133 Tes of Family Mortakity B / 133 Calcase up (20) Mortakity B / 134 Calcase up (20) Mortakity B / 134 Market (20) B / 134	WC chiller - HW u datase on enclose to top an datase on enclose to top an datase on enclose top an datase on e	FEA Heating Design 75.81/46.0125.5 AFinum Ref. Percent Value of 125.5 80-50 Status of 125.5 90-70 4.20 90-70 9.20 90-70 9.20 90-70 9.20 90-70 9.10	ABEAS Wall Root Exhan Ehrer Menal Calling Informat Floor Information Supply Air (analys) Steam Air (analys) Steam Air (analys) Rithm Air (analysis) Rithm Air	State Control Control		10.2 68.9 / 7 51.9 67.8 / 7 cooling Cooling (MBH) (Tons) 221.8 18.5 19.0 1.6 240.8 20.1 basis factors for this a coonsoit manufacture Zone Cooling Cooling Timme	a Heating [MBH] 1478 3.9 151.7 Jacs of equipment's guidance to u cof Heat	e Zone Floor	ss data.			ernation
038 - GTA/RCOT Offices Peak 929	20 7/10 85 70 72.1 29 7/16 97 74 72.1 29 7/16 97 72 72.1 20 7/11 89 72 72.1 20 00 20	Sub Tork woo 100.805 celling Load 98.209 central for tool of Alf Trans Heat 0 behumid. Ov Sizing Volther Sizing Volther Sizing Volther Heat Sizing Sizing Volta Sizing Volta Heat Sizing Volta Sizing Sizing Volta Sizing Volta Siz	-98.229 0 64.070 -19.124 -19.124 -19.124 -19.124 -19.124 -22.160 -4 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -19.124 -19.124 -19.124 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0	99,439 37 Ceriling Lee 0 Verifiation 0 AqA trans- 0 EshaustH 0 EshaustH 0 Arefaka RA Prehea Additional Underftr 5 Supply Air 269,801 10000 Grand Tot	ad -111.510 -76.5 ising 0 test 0 test 0 test 0 test 0 test -0.17 schedu -0.17	0 0.00 0 0.00	liany Lage Dwn Lage Ups ENGINEERIM A Tet 0 ton 366 Tet 25 People G COIL SELE Baceits Coil Airffi	ng Heating 7.1 23.0 78 0.24 70 19 52 -17.19 17 CTION		Transfer Air T SA Duct Leadage T Para Sappy Air Penum Roport Usin, Cols, etc. Latert Colling, Cols, etc. Latert Colling, Cols, etc. ColLing, Colling, Collin	77,233 0 77,233 M3A2 - 34,742 11 56,753 56,754 - 15,555 4 53,511 - 5,555 4 53,513 - 45,780 14 55,754 0 10,255 45,700 - 45,780 15 75,640 0 10,255 45,700 - 45,780 15 10,255 45,700 - 45,780 15 10,255 10,457	10	Sub Total inca Carros Losses Inca Carros Losses A A monotonic Schemel A Constant A Constant Sub Total 400 Total Const Total Count Total Count Total Count Non Factor Schemel I - 1 I - 1	SUM MON PEAK	COOL LOAD (MBTU) (KBTU/HR)	HEAT LOAD E (MBTU) (KBTU/HR) -788.7 -1039.7 3/11	LEC USE FUEL (KWH) (MBT (KW) (KBTU 0.0 9 0.0 11	USE U) 00 /ER) 10 25.1 LOAD 0 63.3 ELEC 0 3/11 FUEL 0	Numbo 10 : 20 : 0 0 0	er of hours 20 30 30 40 0 0 0 0 0 0	within e 40 50 50 60 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4: 0 1	D range 80 90 150 0 129	90 100 00 + 1 261 462 0 0 294 493
	Au	Main Cig 50.7 658.7 Luna Cig 0.0 0.0 Dpt Vent 0.0 0.0 Dpt Vent 0.0 0.0 Fotel 50.7 608.7		0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Part 0	Aux Htg Preheat Reheat Humidif Opt Vent	0.0 -170.8 18,7 -58,4 5,7	85 60.9 98.3 0 0.0 0.0 25 25 60.9 85 60.9 70.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0		34 3		N toba		PEAK MON/DAY Chiller 2 SUM PEAK MON/DAY DHW Plant 1	540.2 8/20 692.8 509.6 7/29	-672.5 2/25 -783.4 -671.6 7/28	79.3 2/25 134903.0 77.2 4/12	ELEC2568 LOAD3518 ELEC3514	317 2- 191 1: 195 1	13 221 57 124 70 154	244 657 79 778 100 659	591 44 587 22 649 47	385 : 157 : 275 :	263 989 238 1102 234 738
https://				- /+ -	1	- I	- I							SUM PEAK MON/DAY Ground Loop : SUM PEAK MON/DAY CHW Pump P-9	1736.4 1831.8 7/29	-142.1 -77.0 3/1 uger 1 -1844.9 -1337.8 1/7	0.0	26.4 LOAD6100 96.1 ELEC 0 2/ 1 FUEL4676	0	0 0	0 0		0	0 0

Ground Source Heat Pump Loads

- Demand and load need to be both considered.
- Any simultaneous heating and cooling loads must be included as they form part of the loads.
- The loads need to be for equipment attached to the ground loop only – i.e. not electric vestibule heating
- You will need to extract the hourly building cool load (coils+losses&gains) and building heat load (coils+losses&gains) for a full year.



Ground Source Heat Pump Loads

Energy modelling software is designed to provide a buildings overall loads and peak loads. It is not designed to size well-fields for Ground Source Heat Pumps but there are several programs that can perform these calculations. Two of these include:

Ground Loop Design (GLD) enables you to design and compare vertical, horizontal and pond systems. http://www.GEOCLIP.com.

GLHEPRO is developed as an aid in the design of vertical borehole-type ground loop heat exchanger. https://hvac.okstate.edu/glhepro/overview

Resources

 Natural Resources Canada: Tools and software for new buildings

•<u>http://www.nrcan.gc.ca/energy/efficiency/buildings/eenb/165</u> 96

- IBPSA: International Building Performance Simulation Association
- <u>http://ibpsa.ca/</u>
- Onebuilding.org: building performance forum
- <u>http://onebuilding.org/index.html</u>
- Energy Modeling Glossary
 <u>https://energy-models.com/glossary</u>



Conclusions

- Energy modelling is an extremely useful decision-making tool when deployed early and integrated fully into the design process.
- Energy modelling can reduce capital costs and ongoing maintenance and utility costs by optimizing the interactions between building components.
- It is much easier to incorporate needed changes when they are recognized through energy modelling early in the design process.
- If the project owner is considering solar power, energy modeling results can be used to optimize capacity.
- Beyond just choosing the right modeling software, the skills of an engineer or architect are paramount in order to make full use of energy modeling.
- "All models are wrong, but some are useful"

Questions?



Introduction to Ground Source Heat Pump Systems

March 6, 2023

GEOptimize

Ed Lohrenz ed@geoptimize.ca 204-318-2156


Ground source heat pump (GSHP) systems are perceived by many as:


- Expensive to install,
- Not very reliable
- Good for the environment cut fossil fuels & reduce CO₂ emissions



Ground stores energy we can use to heat / cool our buildings


- Constant temperature throughout the year
- Air temperature changes through the seasons






Ground heat exchanger (GHX) transfers energy to / from ground

 Circulating fluid cooled or warmed by heat pumps when heating or cooling the building creates a temperature difference between fluid in the pipe and the surrounding earth...transferring energy




Basic heat pump components




- Compressor: compresses refrigerant vapor...heated by increase in pressure
- Condenser: condenses refrigerant vapor into liquid...phase change transfers large amount of heat
- Expansion valve: maintains high pressure / temperature on discharge side of compressor
- Evaporator: boils droplets of liquid refrigerant at low pressure / temperature

Heat pump heating building




- Heat is extracted from fluid in GHX, vaporizing refrigerant
- Compressor draws in, compresses and heats refrigerant
- Hot refrigerant pushed through condenser, rejects heat to air in building

Heat pump cooling building




- Heat extracted from air in building, vaporizing refrigerant
- Compressor draws in, compresses and heats refrigerant
- Hot refrigerant pushed through condenser, rejects heat to fluid in GHX

Heat pump heating building with desuperheater




- Desuperheater is an added refrigerant to water heat exchanger connected to the discharge of the compressor...the hottest refrigerant in the system
- 5-10% of heat pump capacity is diverted to DHW tank when circulation pump to tank is operating
- Does not produce hot water when not heating or cooling

Heat pump cooling building with desuperheater




- DHW is heated if the heat pump is operating in heating mode or cooling mode
- Does not produce hot water when not heating or cooling



Designing a conventional HVAC system

- Peak heating and cooling loads are all that is needed to design a conventional HVAC system
- Gas utility supplies energy as long as bills are paid
- Water utility supplies evaporative cooling...wind dissipates heat to air



Manufacturer's catalog data

Design and Operating Conditions	3	Water Distribution System	Water Distribution System Construction Materials			
Tower Type:	Counter Flow Induced Draf	Stand Pipe:	PVC			
Water Flow Rate (GPM):	88 GPM	Sprinkler Head:	Nylon			
Entering Water Temperature	95°F	Sprinkler Pipes:	PVC			
Leaving Water Temperature	85°F	Mechanical Equipment				
Wet Bulb Temperature:	75°F	Fan Unit:	One Unit per Towe			
Total Fan BHP:	1 HP	Туре:	Axial Flow			
Total Pump Head:	6.0'	Manufacturer:	CTS			
Drift Loss of Water Flow:	0.1%	Diameter:	30.25"			
Evaporation Loss of Water Flow:	0.93%	Blade Material:	Nylon			
Design Wind Load:	30.7 lbs/sq. ft.	Hub Material:	Nylon			
Structural Details		Nominal Air Volume:	8,100 CFM			
Overall Diameter:	62.25"	Fan Motor				
Overall Height:	68 3/8"		we			
Dry Weight:	223 lbs.					
Operating Weight:	1,205 lbs.					
Basic Tower Construction Materi	als					
Tower Support Frame Assembly	FRP	REAL PROPERTY				
Casing:	FRP	evopco				
Casing Supporters	Nylon					
Cold Water Basin	FRP					
Filling:	PVC					
Filling Supports:	PVC		and the second			
Fan Guard	HDGS					
Mechanical Equipment Supports:	HDGS	25	1.S.			
Inlet Louvers:	PVC		-			
Bolts, Nuts & Washers:	STS	Water Flow (GPM):	88 GPM			

- Manufacturer designs cooling towers or air-cooled condensers to meet peak loads at peak design conditions.
- Designer only needs the peak cooling load to select a cooling tower from a website or catalog.


Gas utility pipe sizing tables

Inlet Pressure: 5 psig Pressure Drop: 0.5 psig											
Length Calculated Flow (Natural Gas)											
(ft)	(Cubic Feet of Gas Per Hour)										
	CTS IPS										
Nom OD	1/2	1	3/4	1	1-1/4	1-1/2	2	3	4		
DR	7	11.5	11	11	10	11	11	11.5	11.5		
ID	0.436	0.918	0.848	1.062	1.308	1.534	1.917	2.855	3.670		
10	481	3413	2771	5007	8672	13181	23704	67535	130791		
20	331	2346	1904	3442	5960	9059	16292	46416	89892		
30	266	1884	1529	2764	4786	7275	13083	37274	72186		
40	227	1612	1309	2365	4096	6226	11197	31902	61782		
50	202	1429	1160	2096	3631	5518	9924	28274	54756		
60	183	1295	1051	1899	3290	5000	8992	25618	49613		
70	168	1191	967	1747	3026	4600	8272	23568	45643		
80	156	1108	899	1626	2815	4279	7696	21926	42462		
90	147	1040	84			-	-	-	39841		
100	139	982	79				-	TTOR	37634		
125	123	870	70					-	33354		
150	111	789	64						30221		
175	102	726	58		-	- P		R.	27803		
200	95	675	54	_			112	TE E	25865		
250	84	598	481	1		•	1-		22924		
300	76	542	441			-	-	and and	20771		
350	70	499	40	-	19				19109		
400	65	464	37		-			1 40	17777		
450	61	435	35.	-				A V	16680		
500	58	411	33					1	15758		
600	53	373	30:		NY STREET		-		14276		
700	48	343	27	1		and the second	7 -		13133		
800	45	319	25					A CONTRACTOR	12218		
900	42	299	24:						11464		
1000	40	283	221 + 480	000		070	1570	4400	10829		
1500 2000	32	227	184	333	577	876	1576	4490	8696		
2000	27	194	158	285	493	750	1349	3843	7443		

- Gas utility provides tables to select gas pipe size needed for selected equipment.
- Designer only needs peak heating load to size the energy source.


Heat rejected to ground when building is cooled

- Earth surrounding GHX piping warms when cooling building
- Heat slowly dissipates to surrounding earth
- Earth conducts heat poorly and temperature will increase over time
- Typically designed to deliver maximum 85-95°F fluid to heat pumps



Heat extracted from ground when building is heated

- Earth surround GHX piping cools when heating building
- Heat slowly conducted from surrounding soil to colder earth around GHX
- Most systems designed to deliver minimum 30-40°F fluid to heat pumps



Many methods of transferring energy to and from the ground

 A GHX cannot be selected from a website or supplier catalog...it must be designed by the GSHP system designer to supply and absorb energy from the building for the year and for the life of the building.



Transferring energy through man-made structures

- Pipe embedded in structural piles
- Pipe built into concrete tunnel walls
- Pipe integrated into wastewater pipes




For building owners, it's all about the money!

- Energy cost savings
- Reduce environmental impact





Ground is consistent energy source / heat sink



- GSHP systems are efficient because of stable ambient ground temperatures – about 45° - 70°F across N. America
- GHX designed to deliver water temperatures to heat pumps from 30° - 90°F
- Outdoor air temperatures range from -30° - 100°F

Rules of thumb are dangerous for GHX design

Common "Rules of thumb" in HVAC design

- Used to estimate proposed building heating and cooling loads
- Used to estimate size and cost of a GHX
- The size, cost & performance of a GHX is site and building specific.




Peak loads alone don't determine size of GHX

- Peak cooling loads for 3 buildings are 140 kW (480 kBtu/hr or 40 tons)
- Peak heating loads are identical 113 kW (385 kBtu/hr)




Monthly energy load profiles

- Peak heating and cooling loads (kBtu/hr) are identical for 3 buildings
- Annual energy loads (kBtu) are much different
- Energy to and from GHX...annual cooling / heating ratio changes




Energy rejected to ground versus energy extracted from ground

- Peak heating & cooling loads identical for each building
- Total annual heating and cooling loads much different
- Compressor energy adds heat to GHX in cooling, provides heat to building when heating




Rules of thumb suggest these buildings need 8,000' of borehole

- Max / min temperatures should be 32-40°F / 85-90°F for efficient heat pump operation.
- Potential for heat pumps to quit working if temperature too high or low




Rules of thumb don't consider all the variables

- After 10 years GHX temperature for church and retail store fall outside efficient operating parameters.
- Balanced loads of apartment building maintains efficient operating temperatures over time




Increasing size of GHX for church

• A larger GHX extends the time till the temperature of the GHX drops below efficient operating parameters...but only delays the inevitable!




Increasing size of GHX for retail

 Increasing the size of the GHX extends the time till the temperature increases outside of efficient operating parameters...but doesn't prevent long term temperature degradation



Reducing size of GHX for apartment


- Proper design reduces the amount of drilling required from 8,000 ft to less than 7,000 ft (13% cost savings)
- Balancing energy loads allows the system to operate efficiently for the life of the building



Challenging the "Rules of Thumb"

Rules of thumb can result in projects that either:

- Fail because of long term temperature degradation, or
- Are not built because they are too expensive to build



Balancing loads improves performance and reduces cost

Accurate energy model to determine how much energy the GHX has to deal with

GHX and borehole design considerations

Soil properties

• Soil properties determine how much and how quickly energy can be transferred to and from the ground surrounding GHX piping




Borehole design

• Borehole diameter, pipe and grout specifications and pipe placement in the borehole impact the performance of a GHX




Typical annual GHX temperature profile

- Temperature profile of GHX with standard grout (TC 0.40 Btu/hr * ft * °F
 - Temperature range: 26°F to 73°F




Typical annual GHX temperature profile

- Profile with thermally enhanced grout (TC 1.20 Btu/hr * ft * °F
 - Temperature range: 34°F to 63°F




Impact of borehole design on daily temperature profile

- Standard grout has conductivity of about 0.40 Btu/hr * ft * °F
- Resists to heat transfer from GHX to surrounding earth




Thermally enhanced grout in boreholes reduces daily temperature range

- Surrounding U-tube with thermally enhanced grout allows heat to transfer more quickly to surrounding earth
 - Lowers temperatures to heat pump when cooling
 - Increases temperatures to heat pump when heating




Typical 10 year temperature profile with 32 boreholes

- Project slightly heating dominant...temperature drops about 0.2°F / year
- After 10 years minimum temperature 24°F




Typical 10 year temperature profile with 48 boreholes

- Still heating dominant minimum temperature after 10 years 30°F
- Adding more boreholes only defers long-term temperature degradation
- More heat rejection (cooling) and / or less extraction (heating) will stop will stop long term temperature degradation




Where do you start when your client wants a GSHP system?

Design methodology flowchart



Determine how much energy GHX has to deal with




Changing energy loads with glass

- Less glass or more, clear or reflective of shaded glass
- Daylighting and occupancy controls
- Provide owner / architect with implications of their design on size, cost and performance of a GHX




Optimizing building mechanical systems

- Ventilation air strategy direct outside air, demand ventilation, ERV
- Heat pump selection heat pump efficiency and type
- Distribution design temperatures low hot water, high chilled water
- Piping system design and pump power flow rates, pressure drop




Initial energy model

 School in this climate zone is heating dominant...will extract more energy from GHX when heating than will be rejected to GHX when cooling




Changing glass specifications

- Lowering solar heat gain coefficient (SHGC) reduces cooling loads
- Lowering U-value (increasing R-value) reduces heating loads
- Small reduction in size of GHX




Exhaust air energy recovery

- Reduces heating more than cooling loads in a cold climate
- Improves energy balance




Combining energy efficiency measures

 Upgraded glass and ERV further reduces cooling loads, with slightly better energy balance to GHX




Changes to building affect GHX size and cost

- Adding efficiency measures to a building changes peak and annual energy loads and changes the size / cost of GHX required
- Addition of ERV balances energy loads, reduces borehole required by approximately 45-50%




Changes to building affect long-term GHX performance

- Building loads are heating dominant without ERV. GHX temperatures drop by approximately 3-4°F over 10 years
- ERV helps balance annual energy loads, reduce potential of degrading over time



GHX type and configuration and contractor capabilities



Geology determines how much energy the ground can provide

Thermal properties of soil and rock affect size and installation cost



- Thermal properties of rock / soil GHX is built determines speed & amount of heat transferred to and from the earth
- Different soils & rock require different drilling techniques...affect drilling costs
- Review of water well databases, interviews with drilling contractors, geologists can provide preliminary modeling information

Site constraints determine how GHX configuration

Kennys

RogerSt

Harbourfront Wealth


lighfield St

lohfieldst

m m


Vertical GHX options

- Geological conditions and site constraints can challenge contractors
- Angle drilling, drilling with limited drilling technology, drilling under and inside buildings, or using the building's structure as the energy resource are options that can be considered depending specific project constraints




Alternatives to vertical GHX

- Depending on the site and geology, alternatives to vertical boreholes can be considered
- Horizontal excavation or drilling
- Surface water heat exchangers




Contractor capabilities determines cost-effectiveness

Contractor capabilities, geology, site constraints



Energy modeling and utility rates



Return on investment


Description	Standard ASHRAE 90.1 Building	Optimized Building with Gas Heating	Optimized Building with GSHP
Base System Cost ¹	\$5,250,000	\$5,250,000	\$5,250,000
GHX System Cost ²			\$652,800
Exhaust Energy Recovery Cost ³		\$350,000	\$350,000
Upgraded Glass Cost ⁴		\$87,500	\$87,500
Total Cost	\$5,250,000	\$5,687,500	\$6,340,300
Government/Utility Incentives			(\$325,000)
Modified Total Cost			\$6,015,300
INCREMENTAL COST		\$437,500	\$765,300

Heating and Cooling Costs			
Total Heating Cost ⁵	\$47,246	\$22,098	\$6,439
Total Cooling Cost ⁶	\$3,804	\$3,060	\$1,553
Total Heating and Cooling Cost	\$51,050	\$25,158	\$7,992
ANNUAL COST SAVINGS		\$25,892	\$43,058

Financial Analysis ⁷		
Return on Investment [20 years]	 7.2%	6.8%
Net Present Value [20 years]	 \$36,004	\$37,038
Internal Rate of Return [20 years]	 3.3%	3.0%
Simple Payback	 16.9 years	17.8 years

- Building owners base their decision to install a GSHP system on money
- Minimizing cost of installing the GHX while maximizing system efficiency increases return on investment
- Hourly energy loads translated into energy consumption, cost with local utility rates and CO₂ emissions
- Cost of recommended energy efficiency measures to balance loads are included

Owner's decision to move forward with GSHP or conventional HVAC



Architectural and mechanical design iterations

 Additional energy models to balance energy loads...options might include electrochromic glass, daylighting and occupancy controls, green roof, low temperature perimeter radiant heating in place of high temperature convectors




Auxiliary heating / cooling devices

- Snow melt or other beneficial to dissipate excess heat
- Renewable heat source to recharge heating dominant GHX
- Fluid coolers or boilers to dissipate or provide additional heat as needed
- Water well to supply or dissipate excess heat



Confirming preliminary geological assumptions before final design




Confirming soil properties before final GHX design




- Cost of thermal conductivity test includes cost of borehole (\$3-12,000) plus cost of actual test (\$4-8,000). Total: \$7-20,000
- Preliminary GHX modeling can often be completed based on information from water well databases, interviews with drillers, geologists.
- Preliminary modeling establishes test borehole depth, U-tube size, location to integrate into final design

Detailed GHX design




GHX design details



- Design details must be laid out in detail
- GHX is critical component of GSHP system...buried / difficult to repair.
 Design should be reviewed to ensure:
 - Correct soil properties used
 - Appropriate construction methodology
 - System can be flushed effectively
 - Appropriate heat transfer fluid specified
 - Commissioning agent understands flushing procedures

Optimizing mechanical system design to work with GHX




Optimizing mechanical system to perform well with GHX


 Pumping energy, distribution system temperatures and ongoing system monitoring are critical in ensuring a GSHP system is optimized to work well with heat pump equipment and a GHX



Ensuring system is installed as designed




Quality installation




 GHX is buried and difficult if not impossible to repair if needed. A detailed quality assurance / quality control program is needed to ensure correct installation.

Ensuring is installed as specified




Commissioning and ongoing commissioning


 Ensuring the system is installed and operating as designed as well ongoing monitoring moving forward is important to efficient system operation



Information for building operators




What a building owner / operator needs to know



- Most geothermal heat pumps are designed to operate efficiently with entering water temperatures between 30° and 90°F
- Operators need to be aware the temperature should be monitored and what they can do if it starts operating outside of efficient operating parameters

Design methodology overview




Well designed GSHP system reduces cost, mitigates risk

- Offers a solid return on investment
- Ensures reliable, high-performance system
- Reduces energy consumption and CO₂ emissions
- Can work virtually anywhere in the world



Proven design methodology has an impact on cost and performance



Two types of GSHP rebates from Efficiency Manitoba:

1. For Existing Buildings

Efficiency Manitoba's **Ground Source Heat Pump** (GSHP) program is for <u>existing residential and commercial buildings</u> that currently have a Manitoba Hydro electric service and are heated using electricity or natural gas.

- The GSHP installer must be a member in good standing with the MGEA (Manitoba Geothermal Energy Alliance)
- Heat loss calculations and loop design are required
- The rebate is calculated as the lesser of:
 - \$2.50/square foot of heated space
 - \$120/MBH of installed heating capacity
 - o \$120/MBH of building's eligible base transmission and infiltration heating load
- Approval must be obtained from EM prior starting any work on your project
- More detail on the program can be found at efficiencyMB.ca/heatpump

2. For New Buildings

Efficiency Manitoba's **New Buildings Program** offers technical guidance and financial incentives for new construction projects classified as Part 3 buildings that are required to follow the Manitoba Energy Code for Buildings 2013.

• The New Buildings Program includes two paths; the Energy Modelling Assistance Incentive and the Performance Path.

Two types of GSHP rebates from Efficiency Manitoba:

2. For New Buildings - Continued

- The Energy Modelling Assistance Incentive (EMAI) is designed to encourage project teams to use energy modelling as a tool in the design process to help evaluate design decisions. The deliverable is set up in such a way that makes the energy model results easy to understand for owners and the rest of the project team.
 - The incentive is up to \$10,000 and is based on the cost of modelling for the project.
 - The first up to \$5,000 is paid after we receive and verify the EMAI deliverables
 - The remaining up to \$5,000 is added to the performance path incentive upon successful completion of the performance path.

To be eligible for this path an application and design energy model report, must be submitted to EM before the project's tender date and before the project is issued building permit.

- The **Performance Path** looks at your building's performance over the Manitoba Energy Code for Buildings (MECB) reference case in the final, as-built model and includes several commissioning deliverables.
 - Incentives are determined based on the building performance above code and range between \$0.50/sq. ft. \$2/sq. ft.

To be eligible for this path, you must submit the application no later than 6 months after a building permit is issued, however, the sooner the better to ensure all project team members are aware of the requirements for successful completions. Projects that achieve 10% better than the current MECB also receive an Energy Efficient Certification from Efficiency Manitoba.

• More detail on the program can be found at efficiencyMB.ca/newbuildings



Introduction to Ground Source Heat Pump Systems

March 6, 2023

GEOptimize

Ed Lohrenz ed@geoptimize.ca 204-318-2156







